Monitoring Riparian Birds at Ouray National Wildlife Refuge: 2012 Field Season Report



March 2013



		-

ROCKY MOUNTAIN BIRD OBSERVATORY

Mission: To conserve birds and their habitats

Vision: Native bird populations are sustained in healthy ecosystems

Core Values: (Our goals for achieving our mission)

- Science provides the foundation for effective bird conservation.
- 2. Education is critical to the success of bird conservation.
- Stewardship of birds and their habitats is a shared responsibility.

RMBO accomplishes its mission by:

Partnering with state and federal natural resource agencies, private landowners, schools, and other nonprofits for conservation.

Studying bird responses to habitat conditions, ecological processes, and management actions to provide scientific information that guides bird conservation efforts.

Monitoring long-term trends in bird populations for our region.

Providing active, experiential, education programs that create an awareness and appreciation for birds.

Sharing the latest information in land management and bird conservation practices.

Developing voluntary, working partnerships with landowners to engage them in conservation.

Working across political and jurisdictional boundaries including, counties, states, regions, and national boundaries. Our conservation work emphasizes the Western United States, including the Great Plains, as well as Latin America.

Creating informed publics and building consensus for bird conservation needs.

Suggested Citation:

Beason, Jason P. 2012. Monitoring Riparian Birds at Ouray National Wildlife Refuge: The 2012 Field Season. Tech Rep. SC-ONWR-USFWS-2012-1. Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory, Brighton, Colorado. 14 pp.

Cover Photo:

Spotted Towhee by Bill Schmoker. Used with permission.

Contact Information:

Jason Beason

jason.beason@rmbo.org

39405 Lund Road

Paonia, Colorado 81428

Phone: 970.310.5117

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2012, Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory, in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, implemented the fourth year of a project designed to monitor populations of riparian birds in eastern Utah. In total, 340 point counts were conducted at six transects along the Green River in eastern Utah. At Ouray National Wildlife Refuge, 220 point counts were conducted at three transects and on Bureau of Land Management lands 120 points counts were conducted at three transects. Ouray National Wildlife Refuge transects were surveyed five times during the course of the season and Bureau of Land Management transects four times each. All surveys were conducted between 9 May and 30 June. Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory also surveyed two transects five times each at Dinosaur National Monument along the Green and Yampa Rivers in Colorado in 2012 using the same protocol.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service funded this project through an agreement with Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory. We thank Diane Pentilla and Jeff Warren of the USFWS for logistical assistance before, during, and after the field season. We would like to thank Josh Kreitzer for spending many weeks in the field conducting surveys.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	1
TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
INTRODUCTION	3
METHODS	4
Study Area and Site Selection	4
Field Methods	4
Data Analysis	5
RESULTS	
DISCUSSION	
LITERATURE CITED	8
Appendix	10
#LIED_1841A	

INTRODUCTION

Riparian habitat comprises very little of the landscape in the western U.S.; however, many wildlife species depend on this habitat (Bureau of Land Management 1998). The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) estimated that the number of birds that depend upon riparian habitat in the western U.S. is two to ten times higher than all other available habitats (Bureau of Land Management 1998). One recent publication compiling information about riparian areas in the western U.S. has a very extensive list of current threats: dams, pollution (point and nonpoint), grazing, land use change, timber harvesting, water diversion, road construction, recreation, mining, groundwater pumping, invasive species, climate change, salinity, fire, insect and diseases, woody encroachment, watershed degradation, elimination of native vegetation, beavers, fire suppression, and fuel management (Poff et al. 2011). The introduction of exotic tree and shrub species has caused dramatic changes to riparian areas in the western U.S. Tamarisk (*Tamarix sp*), a plant species intentionally introduced into western riparian areas to control erosion, has spread rapidly and displaced native species (Glenn and Nagler 2005). No insect species native to the U.S. forages on tamarisk. Other non-native plants, such as Russian olive (*Elaeagnus angustifolia*), Siberian elm (*Ulmus pumila*), and several species of knapweed (*Centaurea sp*) have also invaded western riparian areas.

Because invasion of non-native species has negatively impacted stream flow, stream sedimentation, soil salinity, fire regimes, livestock forage, and regeneration of native vegetation, various methods have been employed to remove Tamarisk and other non-native plant species from riparian areas (Tamarisk Coalition, unpublished). These methods include mechanical removal, chemical treatment and, more recently, biological control. In 2001, the non-native Tamarisk Leaf Beetle (*Diorhabda sp*) was released in the Upper Colorado River Basin in an effort to control Tamarisk. It is currently believed that the beetle eats only Tamarisk leaves throughout its life cycle.

Biologists have studied the relationship between birds and invasion of Tamarisk in riparian ecosystems of the Lower Colorado River Basin for several decades (e.g., Anderson et al. 1977). In the Lower Colorado River Basin, use or avoidance of Tamarisk by birds varied among avian species, river systems, and resident status (Hunter et al. 1988, Ellis 1995, Sogge et al. 2008, Van Riper et al. 2008). Avian species abundance in some areas peaked at intermediate levels of Tamarisk cover (Van Riper et al. 2008).

In contrast to the lower basin, little research has occurred on bird-Tamarisk relationships in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Furthermore, no published studies have investigated the effects of biological control of Tamarisk on birds. Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory (RMBO), in cooperation with The Tamarisk Coalition, initiated a study in 2009 to evaluate the effects on birds of Tamarisk defoliation by Tamarisk Leaf Beetles in riparian habitat. Our primary objective was to estimate densities of bird species and bird species richness as a function of Tamarisk cover and defoliation of Tamarisk.

METHODS

Study Area and Site Selection

The study area for surveys conducted in 2012 was confined to the Green River from just north of Canyonlands National Park to Ouray National Wildlife Refuge and one survey site was just north of (upstream from) Ouray National Wildlife Refuge. The area surveyed consisted of riparian vegetation along the Green River at locations originally chosen for surveys in 2009. We defined our sampling unit as a 5-km² block.

We used ArcMap (ESRI 2005) and Google Earth (Google, Inc. 2009) software, and a digital map of vegetation cover from the Southwest Regional Gap Analysis Project (SWREGAP; Lowry et al. 2005) to characterize the study area. Landcover types we used were Invasive Southwest Riparian Woodland and Shrubland, and Rocky Mountain Lower Montane Riparian Woodland and Shrubland (Ecological System codes D04 and S093, respectively; Lowry et al. 2005). We originally selected 44 sampling units by the following process:

- 1. Using ArcMap, overlaid a 5-km² grid on the Utah and Colorado portion of the Upper Colorado River Basin.
- 2. Retained only grid cells that contained at least 3.5 km of the Colorado River and/or one of its major tributaries.
- 3. Overlaid digital maps of native and invasive woody riparian vegetation from SWREGAP.
- 4. Retained only grid cells than contained native and/or invasive woody riparian vegetation.
- 5. Categorized each grid cell with respect to whether it was inside or outside of the range of the Tamarisk Beetle in 2008.
- 6. Randomly selected cells within and outside of the beetle range.
- 7. Overlaid a grid of potential sampling points (250 m spacing) within each grid cell.
- 8. Overlaid randomly selected cells and their associated points on satellite imagery in Google Earth.
- 9. Rejected any cell that contained < 8 points in woody riparian vegetation.
- 10. Rejected any cell that was inaccessible by automobile and foot.
- 11. For retained cells, rejected sampling points not occurring in woody riparian vegetation, or, sometimes, moved sampling points < 150 m to place them in riparian vegetation.
- 12. Selected the most contiguous 8-16 points within each cell for sampling.

Field Methods

Birds were surveyed from points using methods that allow for estimating detection probability through the principles of Distance sampling. Distance sampling theory estimates detection probability as a function of the distances between the observer and the bird detected (Buckland et al. 1993). The detection probability is used to adjust the count of birds to account for birds that were present but undetected.

We surveyed all transects in the morning between ½-hour before surrise and 11 AM. We conducted sixminute point transects at stations located at 250-m intervals along each transect. We recorded all bird detections on standardized forms. We recorded flyovers (birds flying over, but not using the immediate surrounding landscape) but excluded them in analyses of density. For each bird detected, we recorded the species, sex, how it was detected (e.g., call, song, drumming), and horizontal distance from the observation point. Whenever possible, we measured distances using a laser rangefinder. When it was not possible to measure the distance to a bird, we often used rangefinders to gauge distance estimates by measuring to some nearby object.

We recorded atmospheric data (i.e., estimated temperature in degrees Fahrenheit, cloud cover, precipitation, and wind speed) and the time at the start and end of each transect. We measured distances between points using hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) units. We used Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) North American Datum 1983 for all GPS data.

We recorded vegetation data; including the primary habitat type, the habitat's structural stage, and the types, relative abundance, percent coverage, and mean height of trees, shrubs, and groundcover. If there was a distinct subcanopy present, we recorded the types of sub-canopy trees. We recorded these data prior to beginning each point count. After each point we used nets to "sweep" for beetles on tamarisk present around the point. If beetles were present, we counted and recorded the number of beetles. We also recorded the percent defoliation of Tamarisk.

Data Analysis

Application of distance theory requires that three critical assumptions be met: 1) all birds at and near the sampling location [distance = 0] are detected; 2) distances of birds are measured accurately; and 3) birds do not move in response to the observer's presence. Our sampling protocol met the assumptions of Distance sampling theory reasonably well (Hanni et al. 2009).

We used Program Distance 6.0 (Thomas et al. 2010) to estimate the detection probability and density of each bird species. We fit the following functions to the distribution of distances for each species: Half normal key function with cosine series expansion, Uniform function with cosine series expansion, Hazard rate key function with simple polynomial series expansion (Buckland et al. 2001). The required sample size for estimating a detection function is at least 60-80 independent detections. We used Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) corrected for small sample size (AICc) and model selection theory to select the most parsimonious detection function for each species (Burnham and Anderson 2002).

RESULTS

We surveyed six transects (three at Ouray National Wildlife Refuge and three on BLM land) along the Green River in eastern Utah between 9 May and 30 June, 2012 (Table 1). We surveyed 100% of transects that were scheduled for this project in 2012.

Table 1. Transect number, land ownership, and date of each visit for transects conducted in 2012 along the Green River in eastern Utah, 2012.

Transect	Ownership	Stratum ¹	1st Visit	2nd Visit	3rd Visit	4th Visit	5th Visit
TA GREI7	BLM	TA	9-May	30-May	13-Jun	26-Jun	-
TA_GREO15	USFWS	ON	10-May	23-May	6-Jun	18-Jun	28-Jun
TA GREO14	USFWS	ON	11-May	24-May	11-Jun	18-Jun	29-Jun
TA GREO3	USFWS	ON	12-May	25-May	12-Jun	24-Jun	30-Jun
TA GREI6	BLM	TA	17-May	31-May	14-Jun	27-Jun	-
TA_GREO13	BLM	TA	22-May	5-Jun	17-Jun	25-Jun_	

¹Stratum=land ownership (ON=Ouray National Wildlife Refuge and TA=BLM)

Table 2 shows number of points conducted at each transect during each visit in 2012 and the total number of points conducted at each transect for the entire season.

Table 2. Number of points conducted at each transect for each visit along Green River in eastern Utah, 2012.

Transect	Stratum ¹	1st Visit	2nd Visit	3rd Visit	4th Visit	5th Visit	Total
TA GREI7	TA	11	11	11	11		44
TA_GREO15	ON	15	15	15	15	15	75
TA_GREO14	ON	14	14	14	14	14	70
TA_GREO3	ON	15	15	15	15	15	75
TA GREI6	TA	10	10	10	10	-	40
TA_GREO13	TΑ	9	9	9	9		36

¹Stratum=land ownership (ON=Ouray National Wildlife Refuge and TA=BLM)

We recorded 5,641 birds representing 114 species during surveys in 2012. Please note that 10-20% of the detections are truncated during analyses (therefore the total number of birds in appendix is less). We were able to report density estimates for 36 species at Ouray National Wildlife Refuge and for 36 species on BLM lands and a total of 41 species for all transects in 2012 (Appendix A).

Survey effort, or total number of point counts conducted, each year of riparian surveys in eastern Utah and western Colorado is listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Survey effort by year and stratum in eastern Utah and western Colorado, 2009-2012.

Year	Stratum	Number of Point Counts Conducted	
2009	ON	27	
2010	ON	94	
2011	ON	25	

2012	ON	220
2009	TA	312
2010	TA	615
2011	TA	0
2012	TA	120

¹Stratum=land ownership (ON=Ouray National Wildlife Refuge and TA= BLM, Uintah and Ouray Indian Reservation, Private Lands, and State Lands)

DISCUSSION

The original objective of this project was to determine the effects of tamarisk biological control on riparian bird species in the Upper Colorado River Basin. Determining population trends requires a long term commitment because we will not be able to detect increasing or decreasing trends without many years of data. The USFWS is to be commended for recognizing the need for monitoring wildlife as part of their effort to document the effects of biological control and land management techniques and for the need to make this a multi-year project.

It is possible using Program Distance to construct a common detection function across years, and obtain separate density estimates for each year. Therefore, with each year of additional data we will be able to obtain stratum-level density estimates for more species using common detection functions. In other words, the number of species we will be able to monitor will increase annually if this project continues. Also, greater survey effort will increase the number of species we will be able to monitor.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, B. W., A. E. Higgins, and R. D. Ohmart. 1977. Avian use of saltcedar communities in the lower Colorado River valley. U.S. Dep. Agric. For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. RM-43:128-136.
- Buckland, S. T., D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham, J. L. Laake, D. L. Borchers, and L. Thomas. 2001. Introduction to Distance Sampling. Oxford University Press, London, UK.
- Bureau of Land Management. 1998. Birds as indicators of riparian vegetation condition in the western U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Partners in Flight, Boise, Idaho.

 BLM/ID/PT-98/004+6635. Jamestown, ND: Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center Online. http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/birds/ripveg/index.htm.
- Ellis, L. M. 1995. Bird use of salt cedar and cottonwood vegetation in the middle Rio Grande valley of New Mexico, USA. Journal of Arid Environments 30:339-349.
- ESRI. 2005. ArcMap (Version 9.1) [Software]. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA.
- Glenn, E. P. and P. L. Nagler. 2005. Comparative ecophysiology of Tamarix ramosissima and native trees in western U.S. riparian zones. Journal of Arid Environments. 61:419-446. Google, Inc. 2009. Google Earth (Version 5) [Software]. http://earth.google.com/.
- Hanni, D. J., C. M. White, J. A. Blakesley, G. J. Levandoski, and J. J. Birek. 2009. Field protocol for spatially-balanced sampling of landbird populations. Unpublished report. Rocky Mountain Bird Observatory, Brighton, CO. 28 pp. http://www.rmbo.org/public/monitoring/.
- Hunter, W. C., R. D. Ohmart, and B. W. Anderson. 1988. Use of exotic saltcedar (*Tamarix Chinensis*) by birds in arid riparian ecosystems. Condor 90:113-123.
- Lowry, J. H, Jr., R. D. Ramsey, K. Boykin, D. Bradford, P. Comer, S. Falzarano, W. Kepner, J. Kirby, L. Langs, J. Prior-Magee, G. Manis, L. O'Brien, T. Sajwaj, K. A. Thomas, W. Rieth, S. Schrader, D. Schrupp, K. Schulz, B. Thompson, C. Velasquez, C. Wallace, E. Waller and B. Wolk. 2005. Southwest Regional Gap Analysis Project: Final Report on Land Cover Mapping Methods, RS/GIS Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- Poff, Boris, Karen A. Koestner, Daniel G. Neary, and Victoria Henderson. 2011. Threats to Riparian Ecosystems in Western North America: An Analysis of Existing Literature. Journal of the American Water Resources Association (JAWRA) 1-14. DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00571.x
- Sogge, M. K., S. J. Sferra, and E. H. Paxton. 2008. Tamarix as habitat for birds: Implications for riparian restoration in the southwestern United States. Restoration Ecology 16:146-154.
- Thomas, L., S. T. Buckland, E. A. Rexstad, J. L. Laake, S. Strindberg, S. L. Hedley, J. R. B. Bishop, T. A. Marques, and K. P. Burnham. 2010. Distance software: design and analysis of distance sampling surveys for estimating population size. Journal of Applied Ecology 47:5-14.

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2002. Methods for evaluating wetland condition: biological assessment methods for birds. Office of Water, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington. D.C. EPA-822-R-02-023.
- Van Riper, C, III, K. L. Paxton, C. O'Brien, P. B. Shafroth, and L. J. McGrath. 2008. Rethinking avian response to Tamarix on the lower Colorado River: A threshold hypothesis. Restoration Ecology 16:155-167.

Appendix

Densities of bird species detected during riparian bird surveys in eastern Utah and western Colorado, 2009-2012.

Ash-throated Hydaicher				Say's Phoebe				Western Wood-Pewee				Northern Flicker				White-throated Swift				Mourning Dove				Spotted Sandpiper				Canada Goose	Common Name
0 2	2 2	ON ON	ON	2	Q.	SN SN	2	ON.	8	ş	<u>0</u>	<u>Q</u>	N O	Q Q	ON.	Q.	ON	ON N	9	2	ON.	ş	<u>8</u>	8	ON.	ON ON	0 2	ON	Stratum ¹
2010	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	Year
2.0	0.2	•			15.8	ı	18.1	10.9	7.0	3.1	3.6		,		ı	ı	33.7	18.3	22.5	51.6	0.6	•	0.4	ı	10.6	•	,	4.8	Density ²
0.54	0.60	¦ •		•	0.45	1	0.59	0.24	0.28	0.86	0.55	1	t		1	ı	0.35	0.54	0.20	0.33	0.43		0.98	•	0.48	1		0.53	CV ³
0.7	0.0	; ,			6.2		2.3	7.2	4.5	0.0	1.2	1	ı		,		14.0	3.7	16.2	25.4	0.3	ι	0.0		2.6	·	ı	1.5	רכד
4.0	ο 4 τ	· ·	ı	,	28.6	ı	36.5	15.7	10.5	8.2	7.0			1			52.4	34.0	31.0	80.0	1.0		1.0		18.4	t	ı	9.3	DD.
4	ມ ⊢	. 0	0	0	45	0	23	4	20	1	4	0	0	O	0	0	155	φ	46	31	4	0	Ľ	o	23	0	0	4	⊅_
TA :	T _A	. ↓ Þ	TΑ	ΤA	ŢΑ	ŤΑ	TΑ	TΑ	ΑŢ	TΑ	TΑ	ΤA	TΑ	ŢΑ	TΑ	ΤA	ΤA	ŢΑ	ΤA	ΤA	ΤA	ΤA	A.I	ΤA	ΤA	ΤA	Ā	ŢΑ	Stratum ¹
2010	2009	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	Year
12.5	3.1 14.5	, د	1.4	w W	5.0	t	7.5	6.1	2.6	•	2.5	5.1	,	,	2.3	12.3	18.6	,	25.5	22.8	0.8	•	1.7	1.4	3.6	1	1.0	5.1	Density
0.24	0.18	ວ ກຸ່	0.31	0.25	0.93	,	0.45	0.49	0.85	ı	0.37	0.38	ı	1	0.72	0.49	0.53	ı	0.16	0.22	0.80		0.31	0.32	0.78	•	0.57	0.71	CV ³
ω	10.6	o ,	0.8	1.9	0.0		2.7	2.2	0.0		1.2	2.4	1	ı	0.4	4.1	5.7	,	18.7	15.0	0.0	ı	0.9	8.0	0.2		0.3	1.1	E
18.2	19.2	л; o	2.2	A c	13.6		13.3	11.5	6.7		4.1	00		,	ნ	23.2	34.4		32.7	32.2	1.9	•	2.6	2.3	8.7	ı	2.1	11.7	UCL
166	100	י פ	74	2.9	} ∞		63	25	<u>-</u> 4	. 1	19	21	<u>.</u> .	,	4	ű	45	,	329	144	2	,	S.	13	2	,	11	28	₄⊏
170	103	.	47	3 6	5 5	, ,	8	29	24	١.	23	21	c	. =	4 (13	201		375	175	g)		34	13	25		H-1	32	Total

Rock Wren				Black-capped Chickadee				Cliff Swallow				Violet-green Swallow				Common Raven				Black-billed Magpie				Warbling Vireo				Plumbeous Vireo				Western Kingbird			Common Name
ş	NO NO	2	ON.	<u>Q</u>	ON O	ON N	9	8	9	2	ON	N _O	2	2	ON N	2	9	0 <u>N</u>	ON.	2	0N	9 2	ON.	ON N	0N	Q	Q.	<u>Q</u>	ON	9 9	ON	Q	9	<u>0</u>	Stratum ¹
2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	Year
	43.9	•	14.8	37.4	109.8	,	46.7	26.0	0.6		8.9	•	0.8		0.2	ŧ	8.2	5,4	4,3	3,4	0.9	1		•	27.8		31.8	55.0	2.7	9.4	3.6	4.4	3.0	•	Density ²
٠	0.22		0.47	0.27	0.69	t	0.85	0.91	0.84	•	0.84	,	0.20		0.12	ı	0.45	0.38	0.42	0.49	0.45	ı			0.51		0.32	0.30	0.46	0.40	0.42	0.82	0.21	1	CV ³
	26.4	Ī	5.2	22.2	14.0	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	ı	0.0	•	0.6	ı	0.2	ı	ω ω	2.6	1.5	1.0	0.3	1	,	•	8.3		14.7	29.6	0.9	80	1.2	0.0	2.2	•	רכר
٠	0.00	•	27.5	55.9	242.3	\$	118.7	70.6	1.5		21.9	,	1.2		0.3	1	14.8	80	7.6	6.4	1.4	,	ı		56.3	,	47.5	82.1	4.8	15.0	6.0	10.6	4.2		UCL
0	61	0	9	4	45	0	5	2	ŀή	0	ν	0	თ	0	⊢	0	48	4	13	N	ω	0	0	٥	55	Q	30	14	<u></u>	2	ω	ь	15	Ç	5 4
TΑ	TA	Αï	Ā	A7	ŢΑ	ΤA	Α̈́	ŢΑ	Τ̈́A	ΑT	TΑ	ŤΑ	ΤA	ΤA	TA	ΤA	ŤΑ	TA	ΤA	TΑ	ΤA	ΤA	TΑ	ΤA	TΑ	TΑ	TΑ	TΑ	TΑ	ΤA	TΑ	TΑ	TΑ	ΤA	Stratum ¹
2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	Year
0.9	11.7	,	6,8	4.7	3,5	•	33.3	53.2	34.7		17.4	8,4	0.4	+	1.7	1.6	4.8	,	4.0	4.6	0.0		2.0	1.4	13.0	•	11.4	10.6	10.8		13.3	9.7	12.0		Density
0.29	0.92	ı	0.60	0.68	0.90		0.69	0.73	0.64	,	0.44	0.26	0.12	ŧ	0.15	0.19	0.84	•	0.31	0.49	0.00	,	0.36	0.49	0.79		0.24	0.30	0.47	•	0.28	0.29	0.20		.CN ₃
0.5	0.0	r	1.6	0.6	0.0	ı	10.2	10.4	3.5	٠	ნ. <u>⊬</u>	4.9	0.3	•	1,4	1.1	0.0	ı	2.3	1.8	0.0		1.0	0.4	0.7	•	7.3	5.8	ω	•	7.5	5.6	8.4		FCF
1.4	32.2	r	14.5	10.7	9.1		78.7	130.8	69.2	r	31.4	12.1	0.5		2.2	2.2	12.4		6.3	00 00	0.0		ဆ	2.6	30.7		16.4	16.2	19.5		19.5	14.6	16.3		UCL
10	00	t	27	00	2	•	44	22	15	ı	36	19	ν	•	36	16	10	•	62	33	0	١	20	7	14	•	66	30	12	•	58	25	32	ı	⊃ٍ∡
10	69	1	36	12	47	•	49	24	16	1	38 80	19	ω	•	37	16	58	•	75	35	ω	,	20	7	69	•	96	44	17	,	61	26	47	ī	Total

			Yellow-breasted Chat				Yellow Warbler			•	European Starling			,	Northern Mockingbird				American Robin				Mountain Bluebird			•	Blue-gray Gnatcatcher				House Wren				Common Name
Q.	<u>0</u>	ON N	8	ON N	S.	<u>Q</u>	ON N	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	ON N	ON N	S S	S S	Q Q	ON	S.	Q.	S S	9	0 N	QV V	Q.	<u>0</u> 2	NO	ON	ON	8	<u>0</u>	O.	ON.	9	8	ON N	S.	Stratum ¹
2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	Year
29.1	41.8	38.4	48.7	414.0	272.7	273.5	321.1	14.8	17.0	36.0	63.8	1	•	•	ı	53.5	59.5	42.6	22.4	3,4	•		4.0	64.1	•	21.2	259.0	56.7	3.5	19.3	54.8	4	•		Density ²
0.17	0.17	0.18	0,11	0.24	0.10	0.22	0.27	0.44	0.86	0.36	0.49	1			1	0.32	0.14	0.22	0.36	0.81			0.79	0.49		0.41	0.26	0.27	0.78	0.41	0.21	1	•	ι .	ξ,
21.6	30.4	29.5	40.6	277.7	229.9	177.0	195.7	5.0	0.0	15.4	20.0		ı	,	,	28.9	46.4	27.0	10.4	0.0		٠	0.0	21.2	ı	8.6	149.4	32.6	0.0	7.3	39.7	ı	ı	1	ב
37.0	52.4	50.7	58.0	572.4	319.5	360.7	452.1	25.6	43.7	55.7	120.3			ı	,	83.2	74.2	58.4	35.5	6.9	4	•	8,4	114.7	1	35.4	370.5	84.9	7.8	30.7	77.1	,	ı		UCL
121	20	68	25	483	36	140	44	25	ω	20	7	0	0	0	0	185	24	67	10	σ	.0	0	1	29	o	U t	17	123	ш	19	5	ö	0	0	בְּ
TΑ	TΑ	ŢΑ	TΑ	ΤA	ŢΑ	ΤA	ΤA	ΤA	Ä	TΑ	TA	ΤA	Ā	TΑ	ΤA	ΑŢ	ΤA	TΑ	ŤΑ	ΑŢ	Ā	TΑ	TΑ	ΤA	ΑŢ	TΑ	ΤA	TΑ	ΑŢ	ΤA	ΤA	ΤA	ŢΑ	ŢΑ	Stratum ¹
2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	ı	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	Year
78.1		51.5	54.6	113.5		72.8	65.7	5.5	r	8.2	10.0	3.1		3.2	2.8	23.8		12.3	15.8	•	•	0.6		208.9	•	111.6	144.7	31.2	•	9.9	14.3	0.5	•	0.5	Density
0.30	1	0.18	0.17	0.62	ı	0.14	0.16	0.90	ì	0.58	0.49	0.87		0.51	0.56	0.88		0.32	0.28		•	0.46	1	0.18	1	0.15	0.19	0.87	•	0.43	0.49	0.37		0.38	Ç√3
44.2	•	38.2	40.7	25.2	,	55.8	49,4	0.0		0,6	3.4	0.0	1	0.9	0.9	0.0	ı	9	9.4	٠	,	0.2	,	154.7	1	84.3	105.0	0.0	1	3.3	4.7	0.2	t	0.2	נט
114.2		66.8	69.4	218.4		91.6	83.1	13.8		16.2	18.8	8.3	•	6.0	6.0	60.2	1	19.7	23.7	i	1	1.0	•	277.2	1	140.0	191.0	74.7	1	17.6	26.8	0.7	•	0.9	NCT
180	ı	595	321	73		244	112	Ŋ	1	37	20	16	٠	%	37	43		126	78			o,	· 1	59	ı	164	103	37	٠	65	46	2	٠	11	5
301		663	346	556	36	384	156	30		57	27	16	,	85	37	228	ı	193	800	,	,	ō		80	•	169	120	160	ı	84	63	2		11	Total

		Lazuli Bunting				Blue Grosbeak				Black-headed Grosbeak				Song Sparrow				Lark Sparrow				Vesper Sparrow				Brewer's Sparrow				Chipping Sparrow				Spotted Towhee	Common Name
ON	NO	S	ON	2	ON	õ	8	S S	<u>8</u>	ON	9	2	NO	ON N	QN	S.	Q.	N N	9 N	ON	ON O	Q.	9 8	9	9	2	8	0N	ON	S S	ON N	NO	ON	8	Stratum ¹
2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	Year
8.4	16.2	27.6	0.7	,	9.0	5.5	17.5	9.2	20.5	17.0	22.8		2.9	5.2	5.9	9.1	3.2			•	·			7		•	0.9	r	•		135.2	98.6	57.1	71.4	Density ²
0.71	0.19	0.78	0.85	,	0.54	0.82	0.24	0.69	0.25	0.41	0.87	1	0.85	0:97	0.46	0.43	0.57				1	1		•	r		0.79		,	,	0.14	0.50	0.31	0.25	CV.
0.0	11.6	0.0	0.0	ı	2.9	0.0	11.7	1.4	12.3	7.4	0.5	,	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.2	0.6	ŀ	r		1	1	ı		1	ı	0.0			4	103.4	22.6	28.3	29.6	LCL
16.7	21.3	59.9	1.9	•	18.3	13.8	25,4	21.0	29.1	29.0	55.7		7.2	14.2	9.7	14.7	6.3	r	1	ŧ			r	,	,	1	1.7	,	1		166.6	175.4	81.4	97.3	UCL
<u> </u>	14	7	2	o	1111	2	73	.4	38	æ	35	0	2	_	16	ω	ω	0	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	211	28	61	22	בַּ
TΑ	ĀΑ	ΑŢ	ř	TΑ	ΤA	ΤA	TA	ΤA	TΑ	ΤA	A.	ΑŢ	Ā	ΤA	ΤA	TΑ	TΑ	ΤA	TA	TΑ	ΤA	TΑ	Ā	TΑ	ΑŢ	Ď	ŤΑ	ΤA	Τ̈́A	TΑ	TΑ	TΑ	ΤA	TΑ	Stratum ¹
2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	Year
ı	31.9	23.2	20.8		15.2	16.3	25.4		17.1	19.8	0.0		10.6	11.4	2.5		3.2	7.9	0.0	ı	0.7	0.2	2.2		0.5	0.2	8.0	•	0.5		77.4	•	59.5	53.3	Density
,	0.17	0.30	0,46		0.29	0.26	0.16	,	0.23	0.23	0.00	,	0.43	0.38	0.60	,	0.35	0.46	0.00	,	0.87	1.00	0.51	ı	0.77	1.09	0.81	ı	0.77		0.10	1	0.12	0.16	.CV ₃
	22.8	12.5	7.8	ı	9.2	10.2	19.2	1	11.2	12.7	0.0	r	3.6	رن ئ	0.2	ı	1,5	2.6	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.6	1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	ı	65.9	•	48.1	39.1	Ę
	40.9	35.3	36.1	ı	23.6	24.3	32.0		23.6	27.7	0.0	•	18.7	19.3	4.8	1	5.2	14.6	0.0	,	1.6	0.5	3.9	i	1.1	0.7	1.9		1.2	1	91.0		71.1	67.8	UCL
	175	65	31	•	119	53	88	•	197	119	0		50	26	ω		24	29	0	ŀ	œ	,	4		2	н	⊢		ω		101		404	181	_ ₄
1	189	72	33		130	67	131	,	235	128	35		52	27	19	•	27	29	0	1	00	ы	4	ı	N	نب	ω	ı	ω	,	312	ı	465	203	Total

¹ Stratum=survey location or land ownership. ON=Ouray National Wildlife Refuge and TA=BLM in 2012. In 2009-2010, TA=BLM, Uintah and Ouray				American Goldfinch				Lesser Goldfinch				House Finch				Bullock's Oriole				Brown-headed Cowbird				Western Meadowlark				Red-winged Blackbird		Common Name
on or land	ON	ON N	ON ON	ON	9	02	<u>8</u>	9	02	02	N _O	0N	8	S S	NO No	ON ON	9	NO	8	9	ON N	ON	ON ON	9	NO	NO	9	NO NO	<u>Q</u>	Stratum ¹
owners	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	Year
hip. ON=0	76.0		7.7	98.6	2.7	•	,	,	1.8	•		ι	12.9	8.9	2.2	37.0	68.9	42.1	50.7	121.1	0.5			1.6	13.2	40.3	30.0	31,4	13.9	Density ²
uray Nati	0.40		0.57	0.69	0.50		1	,	0.27			r	0.27	0.85	0.35	0.40	0.23	0.38	0.15	0.26	0.87	,	ı	0.46	0.55	0.50	0.59	0.51	0.38	CV.
onał Wi	34.3		1.9	11.3	1.0		1		1.1			ı	6.7	0.0	1.1	19.4	43.1	18.2	38.1	71.2	0.0	,	ı	0.3	2.7	9.8	4.4	9.2	6.8	뎐
Idlife Re	130.8		15.5	223.2	4.8			ı	2.7			1	18.4	21.4	3.3	60.8	94.6	64.8	63.5	173.7	1.2		ı	2.7	25.8	72.8	58.9	60.2	21.1	UCL
efuge a	65	0	w	7	ω	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	27	2	2	7	93	∞	29	19	5	0	0	2	22	ø	19	7	27	⊐_
nd TA=BLI	ΤA	ΤA	ΑT	ΤA	ΑŢ	ΤA	ΑŢ	ΤA	TΑ	TΑ	Ā	TA	ΤA	TA	ΤA	TΑ	ΤA	TΑ	ΤA	ΤA	TA	TΑ	TΑ	TΑ	ΤA	ΤA	ĬΑ	TΑ	Ä	Stratum ¹
M in 20:	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	2011	2010	2009	2012	Year
12. In 200	19.1	•	15.2	40.5	3.2	ı	4,8	913.9	38.9		2.7	15.3	13.1	•	5.6	17.3	24.6	ı	45.9	57.6	0.8	r	3.8 8	4.0	2.0		11.2	39.6	47.6	Density
9-2010,	0.89	•	0.30	0.48	0.42	ı	0.50	31.47	0.47		0,40	0.37	0.43	•	0.28	0.28	0.55	1	0.20	0.26	0.94	r	0.44	0.51	0.46		0.57	0.50	0.40	CA.
TA=BLI	0.0	•	8.7	15.3	1.4	,	. 5	1.0	14.0	1	1.2	8.0	5.8	1	3.2	10.7	6.0	1	31.7	34.8	0.0	ı	0.9	1.0	0.7	1	2.3	13.3	20.5	Ľ
VI, Uint	51.1		23.7	77.3	5.1	ı	9.1	8.9	71.6		4.7	25.8	21.6		8.2	25.1	47.9	ı	61.1	83.3	2.0	t	6.5	7.7	3.6	•	22.6	76.4	75.8	UCT
h and (10	,	38	36	2	,	14	6	36	,	16	42	15	,	28	47	21	ı	165	101	4	1	106	57	Ŋ		56	52	50	ح _ح د
Juray	75	•	41	43	ហ	t	14	gn.	8		16	42	42	•	30	54	114	1	194	120	9	1	106	59	24		75	59	77	Total

Indian Reservation, Private Lands, and State Lands ²Density=Birds per km²
³CV is shown as number (i.e., 0.50=50%)

⁴n=number of observations used to calculate density. Typically, 10%-20% of total number of observations are truncated during analyses